

MAY 2019

Responsible Investment Policy



Table of Contents

Introduction.....	3
A. Stewardship Responsibility	3
B. Investment Process.....	4
C. Cooperation with Other Investors and Corporate Stakeholders	5
D. Conflicts of Interest.....	5
E. ESG Integration.....	6
F. ESG Exclusion Policy.....	8
G. Role of ESG Team.....	8
H. Voting.....	9
I. Takeover Bids.....	10
J. Returns to Investors.....	10
K. Climate Change.....	10
L. Board of Directors.....	11
M. Remuneration	11
Appendix.....	13

Introduction

Our Responsible Investment Policy provides information on how Fidelity International¹ undertakes investment stewardship as well as our underlying investment process across all of our asset classes managed by Fidelity International². The policy seeks to explain what we expect from our investee companies and what those companies can expect in return from us. Information is provided on our approach to engagement and integration of environmental, social and governance (“ESG”) issues into our investment process as well as how we vote our shares and our attitude towards takeovers and returns to investors. Our full Proxy Voting Guidelines are set out in the Appendix.

A. Stewardship Responsibility

Fidelity pursues an active investment style through portfolio management decisions, maintaining an ongoing dialogue with the management of investee or potential investee companies and voting on resolutions at general meetings. Our primary objective is to deliver investment performance to our clients by seeking a long term understanding of all aspects of the companies in which we invest. The intensive analysis which accompanies an initial investment continues throughout and beyond the life of the investment itself.

Fidelity believes that high standards of corporate responsibility will generally make good business sense and have the potential to protect and enhance investment returns. The investment process undertaken by our research analysts takes ESG issues into account when, in our view, these have a material impact on either investment risk or return. We seek to gain an understanding of the relevant ESG issues applicable to our investments through our internal research process and to identify those issues which may potentially threaten the value of our investment. Our ESG integrated approach is applied across all asset classes, sectors and markets in which we invest.

Fidelity has an ESG Oversight Group which is comprised of senior executives from across Fidelity’s business and which governs the definition and application of the Exclusion Policy subject to the approval of the Fidelity Board (see Section D). ESG analysis is carried out at analyst level within the equity, fixed income and real estate teams and our portfolio managers are also active in analysing the potential effects of ESG factors when making investment decisions. We adopt a positive engagement approach whereby we discuss these issues with the management of the companies in which we invest or are considering investing on behalf of our clients. We use the information gathered during these meetings both to inform our investment decisions and also to encourage company management to improve procedures and policies. We believe that this is the most effective way to effect positive change in standards of corporate social responsibility.

Our analysts are supported by a specialist in-house ESG team who have day to day responsibility for coordinating Fidelity’s approach to ESG and the implementation of our voting policies. The investment teams are also supported by Corporate Finance which resides behind an information barrier. Corporate Finance acts as a point of contact for all external parties who wish to engage with the investment team outside of the routine investment process. These external parties include company chairmen, independent directors, other shareholders, company advisers, customers, media and other agents of corporate change. The information barrier facilitates a full and open dialogue and means that there is no information which Fidelity in principle cannot accept. In this context we encourage companies to consult at an early stage when they are contemplating major strategic or corporate initiatives.

1. Fidelity International (“Fidelity”) refers to the independent group of privately owned companies which form the global investment management organisation that provides products and services in designated jurisdictions outside the United States.

2. Where Fidelity sub-delegates investment management responsibility for certain assets to third parties, our Responsible Investment Policy will not apply to those assets. The entity responsible for managing these sub-delegated assets will often have its own policies and procedures in place regarding responsible investment.

As a general policy we aim to support the management of the companies in which we invest but our dialogue with companies is a robust one and we will form our own views on the strategy and governance of a business. On occasion our views may differ from those of management or the Board and this may give rise to an escalation in our engagement. Factors taken into account prior to an escalation include an assessment of the materiality of the matter in dispute, the size of our shareholding, the timeframe of the investment thesis and the ownership profile of the business in question. Escalation can also occur when we become aware of differences between directors. Our specific response will always be determined on a case by case basis and there will be instances when we choose to sell our shares.

When escalation is deemed appropriate our first step is often to make contact with other significant shareholders to determine whether they share our views or concerns. Following these conversations we will speak to the company's advisers and/or independent directors for a further exchange of views. Our strong preference is to achieve our objectives in a consensual and confidential manner but when differences with a company remain we may consider joint engagement with other shareholders, escalating concerns if necessary to regulators and more public forms of dissent, although as a general policy we do not favour using the media to help achieve our objectives. If differences with a company remain unresolved we may vote against the Board in a general meeting or even requisition an extraordinary general meeting to enable all investors to vote on the matter in dispute. We would not normally intervene on an operational matter but topics which have given rise to escalation in the past include the need for management and/or Board change, strategy, capital structure, M&A, protection of shareholder rights, remuneration and other ESG-related issues.

B. Investment Process

Fidelity's investment analysts act as the hub for our communication with companies and they undertake extensive quantitative and qualitative analysis of potential investments. Formal meetings involving both portfolio managers and analysts are held with investee companies at least twice a year covering a range of subjects with the objective to satisfy ourselves that our longer term investment thesis remains intact. In addition to financial and strategic matters, discussion will cover a wide range of related investment topics including, but not restricted to, corporate governance, business sustainability and management motivation, and environmental or social factors.

Meetings are supplemented by further due diligence such as site visits and ad hoc calls, and research analysts are also encouraged to develop knowledge of a broad range of industry experts across the value chain in their chosen coverage fields. Research may encompass customers, suppliers, competitors, external industry experts, sell side investment analysts and other shareholders, both directly and through intermediary networks. The investment analysts are responsible for producing most of our company research and for some of our larger holdings this research is complemented by in-depth due diligence reports prepared by senior investment professionals. We also periodically commission bespoke research from external agencies.

We believe that the more we can learn about our investee companies the better we can hold them to account for delivering on their strategy, and to this end there are numerous internal weekly, monthly, and quarterly reviews designed to pool knowledge of our investee companies and to identify opportunities and matters for attention. Individual portfolios are also subject to an in-depth quarterly review with senior management, in which every aspect of the fund in question is examined, including risk profile, volatility, performance and fund positioning as well as the individual investments of the fund.

Where there are particular issues giving rise to concern or when we want a broader perspective of a company's business we will often seek meetings with Chairmen and/or independent directors. Meetings with Chairmen and/or independent directors almost always involve senior portfolio managers in addition to the investment analyst and representatives of the ESG team, and usually contain a strong ESG content. In addition to a review of strategy, the proper operation of the Board is a key focus and discussion will typically also cover matters such as Board effectiveness and succession planning. These meetings may be one-off in nature but in other cases we will hold meetings with a particular Chairman and/or independent director on a regular basis until the matter under discussion has been satisfactorily resolved. We will also occasionally ask to see the Chairman of the Audit Committee to discuss audit and risk-related matters.

On the credit side, our fixed income analysts analyse companies in order to develop a deep understanding of their business, their outlook and their creditworthiness. Engagement with companies is also part of our credit analysts' approach and we engage with bond issuers communicating to them any specific concerns we may have in respect of investment or ESG issues.

Our real estate team identifies relevant issues for responsible property investment through the lifecycle of property ownership. This includes environmental risk assessments and ongoing review of energy use.

C. Cooperation with Other Investors and Corporate Stakeholders

We maintain close relationships with a wide spectrum of investors as well as other corporate stakeholders.

Where legally permitted we are willing to consider collective engagement initiatives. Relevant factors in determining whether or not to participate in a collective engagement will include the identity of the other leading investors, the relative size of their investment and a determination of whether a collective approach is necessary in order to achieve a satisfactory outcome. We seek to identify issues, both governance and otherwise, which are relevant to the performance or valuation of the business in question.

We also regularly engage with local regulators on matters that may affect investee companies. This may take the form of direct dialogue, responding to public consultation requests, or other consultation forums.

We participate in the debate on the development of appropriate standards for responsible investment through our membership in various forums including:

- Asian Corporate Governance Association (ACGA)
- Corporate Governance Forum
- Global Real Estate Sustainability Benchmark (GRESB)
- International Corporate Governance Network (ICGN)
- The Investor Forums (in both Japan and the UK)
- UK Sustainable Investment and Finance Association (UKSIF)
- Dutch Association of Investors for Sustainable Development (VBDO)

In addition, we are signatories to the UK Stewardship Code and the Japanese Stewardship Code.

D. Conflicts of Interest

The Fidelity Conflicts of Interest Policy addresses the obligations of Fidelity and its subsidiaries carrying on regulated business to maintain and operate effective organisational and administrative arrangements with a view to taking all reasonable steps to prevent conflicts of interest from constituting or giving rise to a material risk of damage to the interests of its clients. It applies to perceived as well as actual conflicts. Where it is a fiduciary, Fidelity owes a duty to its clients never to put itself in a position where its own interest results in an irreconcilable conflict with its duty to its clients or where its duty to one client results in an irreconcilable conflict with its duty to another client or clients. Fidelity is also under a regulatory duty to manage conflicts of interest fairly, both between itself and its clients and between different clients. To that end, Fidelity will identify, record, manage and, where required, disclose actual or potential conflicts of interests and have in place a policy relating to conflicts of interest.

Conflicts are identified through various means, including regular interviews with the business heads, awareness training and internal reviews. There is a governance structure in place to ensure the effective implementation of the Conflicts of Interest Policy and the Conflicts Oversight Forum meets on a quarterly basis to review any issues involving material conflicts occurring the previous quarter. These will include any newly identified conflicts, any breaches of the policy and any other relevant regulatory matters. A Conflicts Register is also maintained to ensure that significant conflicts have been identified, addressed and recorded. All staff must adhere to the Conflicts of Interest Policy and the Code of Conduct and Associated Policies and they are made aware that clients' interests must always come before those of Fidelity or its staff.

Situations where conflicts of interest could arise in the context of stewardship include the following examples:-

1. Investing

Within Fidelity there are companies which invest as principal for investment purposes in equities and/or bonds in which Fidelity may also invest for our clients. Potential conflicts can occur during acquisition and disposal of securities, voting and the use of research. To manage these potential conflicts, decisions regarding Fidelity's investment portfolio are made independently of the investment management process which supports our clients' funds and accounts. Policies and procedures are in place to ensure that these principles are properly followed. It is also possible that a Fidelity fund or account will own securities issued by a client, but in all situations Fidelity's investment decisions will be guided by what we regard as the best interests of the relevant fund or account.

2. Trade Allocation

When performing client transactions in securities, Fidelity will combine orders where this it is in the best interests of the clients as a whole. If there is insufficient liquidity resulting in a partial completion of the order then the securities will be allocated across all clients participating in the block and it is possible that one client may receive a more favourable allocation over another client. To manage this potential conflict, Fidelity maintains a Trading Desk Policy which ensures the consistent and fair application of trade allocations. Allocations are performed on a pro-rata basis based on the size of the order, and the system allocation algorithm is automatically applied for every trade, subject to three lines of oversight - the Trading Desk supervisor, Compliance and Internal Audit/Risk.

3. Voting

In instances where a fund holds an investment in more than one party to a transaction we will always act in the interests of the specific fund in question and in instances where there is a conflict with Fidelity's own interests, we will either vote in accordance with the recommendation of our principal third party research provider or if no recommendation is available we will abstain or not vote at all. We will not vote at shareholder meetings of any Fidelity funds unless specially instructed by a client. Fidelity has a procedure for escalating voting decisions when conflicts or controversial issues are identified during the voting process, with the Global Head of Equities acting as final arbiter.

E. ESG Integration

ESG analysis is carried out at analyst level within our investment teams and our portfolio managers are also active in analysing the potential effects of ESG factors when making investment decisions.

Our investment approach involves bottom-up research. As well as studying financial results, our portfolio managers and analysts carry out additional qualitative analysis of potential investments. They examine the business, customers and suppliers and may often visit the companies in person to develop a view of every company in which we invest and ESG factors are embedded in this research process.

Examples of ESG factors that our investment teams may consider as part of their company and industry analysis include:

- Corporate governance (e.g. Board structure, executive remuneration)
- Shareholder rights (e.g. election of directors, capital amendments)
- Changes to regulation (e.g. greenhouse gas emissions restrictions, governance codes)
- Physical threats (e.g. extreme weather, climate change, water shortages)
- Brand and reputational issues (e.g. poor health & safety record, cyber security breaches)
- Supply chain management (e.g. increase in fatalities, lost time injury rates, labour relations)
- Work practices (e.g. observation of health, safety and human rights provisions and compliance with the provisions of the Modern Slavery Act).

The fixed income team also considers ESG factors and for the sovereign credit team the long-term sustainability of a country's economic and political situation and an assessment of relevant ESG factors forms part of the country analysis.

The real estate team believes that a responsible approach to property investment and management has an impact not only on our business reputation and image and that of our clients, but is also likely to impact on the performance of the real estate assets we manage. As landlords rather than shareholders, the real estate team has limited insight on the internal processes of tenants but is nonetheless able to influence factors relating to the building they reside in.

Fidelity operates analyst training and development programmes which include modules on ESG. This includes training throughout the year for equity and fixed income portfolio managers and analysts on various ESG themes, topics and strategies. The ESG team will attend external seminars on the trending ESG issues in the market globally as well as conferences to explore new ways of integrating ESG into the investment process across all asset classes.

Fidelity uses a number of external research sources around the world that provide ESG-themed reports and we subscribe to an external ESG research provider and rating agency to supplement our organic analysis. Fidelity receives reports that include company specific and industry specific research as well as ad hoc thematic research looking at particular topics. The ESG ratings are industry specific and are calculated relative to industry peers and we use these ratings in conjunction with our wider analysis. Our sources of ESG research are reviewed on a regular basis.

The ESG ratings and associated company reports are included on our centralised research management system which is an integrated desktop database, so that each analyst has a first hand view of how each company under their coverage is rated according to ESG factors. In addition, ESG ratings are included in the analyst research notes which are published internally and form part of the investment decision. The external research vendor also provides controversy alerts which include information on companies within our coverage who have been identified to have been involved in a high risk controversy that may have a material impact on the company's business or its reputation. These reports and alerts are also used by our real estate team to monitor properties and tenants to identify any relevant events which may have a negative effect on their holdings.

A two-page summary of the ESG company reports is included in company meeting packs in order to assist the portfolio managers and analysts in identifying any key ESG risks or opportunities related to the company ahead of a meeting and to prompt discussion of these issues with management.

The ESG team provide the equity and fixed income teams with a comprehensive set of reports on a quarterly basis summarising companies within our investment coverage that the external research vendor has rated high or low according to a multi-stage ESG ratings model. The reports highlight companies that have been implicated in any substantial controversies and/or events during the quarter and also include best in class ratings analysis to draw the attention of our analysts to high level performers. Our analysts are encouraged to explore any material differences between their internal ratings of companies and the external ESG ratings provided to them through these various measures.

F. ESG Exclusion Policy

As part of our approach to responsible investment, Fidelity will consider the exclusion of companies from our investment universe based on specific ESG criteria. We will be guided by international conventions and applicable laws and directives and will rely on specialist research when defining our ESG criteria. The ESG Oversight Group will govern the definition and application of this Exclusion Policy and any security specific exclusion lists created as a result thereof, subject to the approval of the Fidelity Board. Our full exclusion list is reviewed every six months unless a specific event necessitates an out-of-cycle review. Fidelity's Exclusions Policy Framework can be found on our websites.

For client-specific mandates we occasionally receive requests to screen out certain industries or securities from the investment universe of that client-specific mandate. Examples of clients-specific exclusions that we have been asked to apply are controversial weapons producers, alcohol and tobacco stocks, home country stocks or stocks in which the investor has an economic interest. We consider these requests on a case-by-case-basis and generally accommodate them for client-specific mandates. In these instances the exclusions will be incorporated into the mandate investment guidelines.

G. Role of ESG Team

Fidelity has a dedicated ESG team that works closely with the investment teams and is responsible for consolidating Fidelity's approach to stewardship, engagement, ESG integration and the exercise of our votes at general meetings. The ESG team have a key role in assisting the investment teams with ESG integration which includes:

- Implementing Fidelity's proxy voting guidelines.
- Engagement with investee companies on ESG issues including attending company meetings.
- Working closely with the investment team globally across all asset classes in integrating ESG into analysis and decision making.
- Providing internal ESG reporting including analyst reports, portfolio manager reviews and industry analysis.
- Co-ordinating and responding to specific client queries on ESG topics.
- Publishing client reporting on ESG integration and proxy voting.
- Maintaining a thorough understanding of current ESG themes and trends around the world.
- Attending external seminars and conferences focusing on trending ESG issues and ESG integration.
- Providing ESG training to the investment team and across the business.

Members of Fidelity's ESG team are involved in numerous, external governance-related organisations and hold positions in the Investment Association, the Panel on Takeovers and Mergers, the Confederation of British Industry and the International Corporate Governance Network. Fidelity is a signatory to the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment ("UNPRI"), the UK Stewardship Code, the Efama Stewardship Code, the Japanese Stewardship Code, the Taiwanese Stewardship Principles for Responsible Investors and the Hong Kong Principles of Responsible Ownership.

We are also active members of the Asian Corporate Governance Association, the Asia Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association, the Hong Kong Investment Funds Association, the Japanese Investor Forum, Assogestioni, the UK Sustainable Investment and Finance Association ("UKSIF"), the UK Investor Forum and many other trade and industry bodies around the world.

H. Voting

Information to inform the voting process is derived from a variety of sources and includes material provided by the company, proxy voting advisory services, internal and external research. Discussions may also be held with investee companies themselves. All votes are cast in accordance with Fidelity's established voting policies after consultation with the relevant portfolio manager where appropriate.

We seek to vote all equity securities where possible. In certain special situations we may determine not to submit a vote where the cost in our view outweighs the associated benefits. We will also take account of the particular circumstances of the investee company concerned and of prevailing local market best practice. Fidelity's approach and policy with regard to the exercise of voting rights are in accordance with all applicable laws and regulations as well as being consistent with the respective investment objectives of the various portfolios.

Fidelity International's voting instructions are generally processed electronically via our proxy voting agent Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS). Our proxy voting agent provides general meeting notifications, processes our voting instructions, and records this activity for subsequent reporting purposes. Additionally, we subscribe to a number of corporate governance and voting advisory services, including products supported by ISS and Glass Lewis. We have a set of customised voting policies with our voting agent but all eventual voting decisions are always made in accordance with Fidelity's policies and voting guidelines after consultation with the relevant portfolio managers where appropriate.

Corporate Finance is responsible for monitoring possible conflicts of interest with respect to proxy voting. In instances where a fund holds an investment in more than one party to a transaction we will always act in the interests of the specific fund in question and in instances where there is a conflict with Fidelity's own interests, we will either vote in accordance with the recommendation of our principal third party research provider or if no recommendation is available we will either abstain or not vote. We do not vote at shareholder meetings of any Fidelity funds unless specially instructed to do so by a client.

We encourage boards to consult with investors in advance rather than risk putting forward resolutions at general meetings which may be voted down. Subject to the size of our investment, where our views differ from those of the board we will seek to engage with the board at an early stage to try and resolve differences. Where this is not successful and we decide to abstain or vote against a company, for all of our larger holdings we will generally ensure that management understands the reason for our opposition. We abstain when we have insufficient information to form our view, and where there are restrictions that do not permit us to cast our vote, but in some markets we also abstain where we wish to give a cautionary message to a company. Our guiding principle is that voting rights should always be exercised in the best interest of our clients.

It is not our usual policy to attend general meetings but if circumstances warrant we will on occasion vote in person and may additionally make a statement explaining our position. In exceptional circumstances we may also submit a resolution for a shareholder vote at a general meeting. We encourage those companies that still undertake voting by a show of hands to move towards implementing poll voting.

We disclose our voting record for the preceding 12 months on our website and this information is updated on a quarterly basis. Quarterly voting reports are provided to institutional clients as well as a more in-depth annual governance and engagement report.

Fidelity operates a limited stock lending programme through a third party provider and stock may be lent up to agreed thresholds. We regard our votes as valuable and whilst we will not recall stock for routine votes where the revenue from our lending activities is deemed to be of more value to the client than the ability to vote, we will recall stock when it is in clients' interest to do so. This may include votes of significant economic or strategic importance, votes which are anticipated to be close or controversial, votes where we disagree with management or votes where we do not have sufficient forward visibility to make a timely and informed judgement. We do not borrow stock for the purpose of gaining additional votes.

I. Takeover Bids

Our general policy is to support incumbent management in good standing but we reserve the right to support hostile bids when either the management has consistently failed to achieve our reasonable expectations for shareholder return or where, in our judgement, the level of a bid fully recognises the future prospects of the company in question. We will always try to give a fair hearing to the arguments of both sides before determining a course of action. As a general rule we will not sign irrevocable undertakings to accept an offer.

We regard corporate acquisitions as amongst the most risky but potentially rewarding steps that a management can take and in these instances we will expect companies to have investigated both the operational and financial consequences of any acquisition in exhaustive detail. Where we are a significant shareholder and where the transaction is material in the context of our investment we encourage direct consultation (with our corporate finance group if necessary) at an early stage. In mergers between two companies we encourage the nonexecutive directors of both companies to meet together at an early stage and to have a meaningful role in determining the composition of the management of the combined group.

Management buy-outs can be an effective means of delivering value to shareholders but they also give rise to serious conflicts of interest. In these instances we look to the independent directors on a board to take control of the process at an early stage and to ensure that it is as transparent and non exclusive as possible. Specifically, we recommend a competitive tender process before any particular financial backer is granted exclusivity and where possible we encourage boards to validate any proposal by seeking competing offers from third parties. In instances where we are a significant shareholder we once again encourage direct consultation at an early stage.

J. Returns to Investors

In circumstances where risk adjusted returns exceed a company's cost of capital we encourage companies to invest, subject to maintaining appropriate controls and capital structure. The potential returns from investment in the business should also be tested against dividends or share repurchases to determine the optimum return for shareholders. We regard dividends as an integral part of shareholders' reward for investing in a business and we therefore also encourage the payment of a dividend as a validation of the cash flow of the business, even where companies are sustaining high growth rates and/or high internal rates of return on projects.

When a company cannot find projects generating a return which exceeds the cost of capital we favour a capital distribution either by enhanced dividend payments or by share repurchases. In our view this does not imply any lack of strategic vision but rather reflects what is best for shareholders at a given moment in time. The taxation position of both the company and shareholders should be taken into account when determining the precise course of action in this regard.

K. Climate Change

Fidelity recognises that climate change poses risks to the long-term profitability and sustainability of companies. Investors are exposed to downside risks as a result of climate change, including the direct physical impacts of climate change (e.g. extreme weather events affecting agriculture and food supply, infrastructure, precipitation and water supply) and the impacts of policy measures directed at reducing greenhouse gas emissions from certain economic sectors. Whilst we recognise that individual sectors may be at different stages of development with respect to their awareness of climate change risks and integration of climate change factors into their risk analysis and business planning, we expect companies to be directionally aspirational in their efforts to address the potential impact of climate change on their business regardless.

L. Board of Directors

A well-functioning board is critical to the success and long-term sustainability of a company. Fidelity continually assesses the integrity, competence and capacity of individual directors, and encourages companies to hold individual directors accountable through performance evaluations and refreshment on an ongoing basis.

We believe that adequate independence on a board and its committees is critical in protecting shareholder value, and we therefore strongly support companies assessing, and where relevant improving, the balance of independent representation on the board.

The board should ensure that the company fosters a culture of acting lawfully, ethically and responsibly. To this end, the board should ensure that the company has adequate whistleblower, anti-bribery and corruption policies in place. We may consider voting against directors in the absence of adequate policies.

Boards should seek to optimise their performance by considering the diversity of their directors' gender, backgrounds and age. Fidelity is particularly supportive of gender diverse boards and encourages companies to adopt objectives for more equitable gender representation in cases where the board's gender diversity falls short of market or sector practices.

Fidelity supports gender diverse boards. Companies that consistently fall short of market/sector practice with respect to board gender diversity are expected to adopt objectives for more equitable representation and demonstrate progress over time. In circumstances where Fidelity concludes that a board is not addressing this issue seriously, additional measures may be considered, including where appropriate by voting against the re-election of the Chair. Companies should over time develop and disclose in full a clear diversity policy and set their own measurable diversity objectives at all levels and in all facets or provide a rationale for why this is not necessary.

M. Remuneration

It is in the interest of shareholders that boards should have the ability to attract and retain the highest quality of executive directors. In our view setting appropriate remuneration levels is primarily the responsibility of the remuneration committee of the board and will be a market-based judgement although all remuneration arrangements should be aligned with the interests of the shareholders and be proportionate to the contribution of the individuals concerned. Companies should also seek to achieve an appropriate balance between the rewards to management and the returns to shareholders.

We support the concept of variable remuneration and believe that properly crafted incentive schemes play a role in aligning the interests of management and owners. We encourage management ownership of shares and over time we expect executive directors to build a shareholding in the company which is material in relation to their remuneration such that over time dividend income can become a meaningful component of their remuneration.

The remuneration committee should play a key role in ensuring the correct balance between the potential rewards for management and the dilution of shareholders' interests. Incentive schemes should be voted on by shareholders and should be designed to ensure that the rewards reflect genuine outperformance and the creation of additional shareholder wealth by the executives. All relevant information should be disclosed to enable shareholders to reach an informed decision on the likely costs and benefits of a proposal and unnecessary complexity should be avoided. Once a scheme has been approved by shareholders and brought into operation, there should be continuing disclosure of how it is working in practice relative to its original benchmarks.

We strongly support the use of performance driven vesting criteria and believe that these should incorporate a combination of absolute and relative return targets and a direct linkage with share price performance. In many markets we also encourage a period of mandatory retention once a share award has vested with a minimum guaranteed share retention period of at least five years from the original date of grant. Remuneration to independent directors should always be appropriate in nature. Consideration should also be made to ensure that the independent directors' remuneration arrangement does not potentially undermine or compromise their independent oversight role.

Boards should not sanction rewards for failure and should seek to mitigate termination costs. Ex-gratia payments to directors should always be the subject of a specific vote of approval from shareholders and as a generality we do not support rolling service contracts for executive directors of more than twelve months in duration. We also believe that the pension benefits to directors should be broadly in line with those of the wider workforce. To the extent this is not currently the case we would expect companies to explain the differences and over time to move towards full alignment.

May 2019

Appendix

Proxy Voting Guidelines

A. General Principles and Application

1. Voting shall be carried out by the Fidelity International ("Fidelity") proxy voting teams with non-routine proposals or other special circumstances also being evaluated by the appropriate Fidelity analyst or portfolio manager. All votes are subject to the authority of the Chief Investment Officers of Fidelity.
2. We will seek to vote all equity securities where possible. In certain special situations we may determine not to submit a vote where the cost in our view outweighs the associated benefits.
3. Except as set forth in these guidelines Fidelity will usually vote in favour of incumbent directors and in favour of routine proposals.
4. Fidelity will vote to abstain on proposals if it is deemed to be in the best interest of investors or when the necessary information has not been provided. In certain limited circumstances Fidelity may also vote to abstain in order to send a cautionary message to a company.
5. In instances where there may be a conflict with Fidelity's own interests we will either vote in accordance with the recommendation of our principle third party research provider, or if no recommendation is available, we will either not vote or abstain in accordance with local regulations.
6. Fidelity's proxy voting group will not vote at shareholder meetings of any Fidelity funds unless specifically instructed by a client.
7. Voting decisions will be made on a case by case basis and will take account of the prevailing local market standards and best practice.

B. Shareholder Authority

1. Fidelity will vote against any limitation on shareholder rights or the transfer of authority from shareholders to directors. Likewise we will support proposals which enhance shareholder rights or maximise shareholder value.
2. Fidelity will vote against unusual or excessive authorities to increase issued share capital and particularly in respect of proposed increases for companies in jurisdictions without assured pre-emptive rights.
3. Fidelity is supportive of the principle of one share, one vote and will vote against the authorisation of stock with differential voting rights if the issuance of such stock would adversely affect the voting rights of existing shareholders.
4. Fidelity will generally vote against anti-takeover proposals including share authorities that can be used in such a manner.
5. Fidelity will generally support cumulative voting rights when it is determined they are favourable to the interest of minority shareholders.
6. Fidelity will support proposals to adopt mandatory voting by poll and full disclosure of voting outcomes.
7. Fidelity will support proposals to adopt confidential voting and independent vote tabulation practices.
8. In general Fidelity will only support related party transactions which are made on terms equivalent to those that would prevail in an arm's length transaction.

C. ESG

Fidelity will evaluate ESG proposals on a case-by-case basis considering whether the adoption of the proposal in question is likely to have a material impact on either investment risk or returns.

4. Board Composition and Independence

- a. Fidelity will generally vote against elections in cases where the names of the nominees are not disclosed to shareholders on a timely basis ahead of the meeting.
- b. Fidelity will consider voting against the election of directors if, in our view, they lack the necessary integrity, competence or capacity to carry out their duties as directors. Relevant factors which may lead Fidelity to conclude that a director's election should not be supported include, but are not limited to: a poor attendance record at board/committee meetings; excessive outside directorships on public company boards; involvement in material failures of governance or risk oversight that call into question the nominee's fitness to serve as fiduciary; and abuse of minority shareholder rights.
- c. Fidelity favours robust independent representation on Boards and on occasion Fidelity will consider voting against the election of nominees as independent directors if, in our view, they lack sufficient independence from the company, its management or its controlling shareholders.
- d. Fidelity favours a separation of the roles of Chair and Chief Executive and will vote in favour of this outcome when the opportunity arises.
- e. Fidelity supports periodic board refreshment and may consider voting against directors if a significant percentage of the board is comprised of directors with excessively long-tenures.
- f. Fidelity supports gender diverse boards. Companies that fall short of market/sector practice with respect to board gender diversity are expected to adopt objectives for more equitable representation and demonstrate progress over time. In circumstances where Fidelity concludes that a board is not addressing this issue with the seriousness or urgency it deserves, additional measures may be considered, including where appropriate by voting against the re-election of the Chair.

5. Remuneration

- a. Fidelity will support proposals to give shareholders the right to vote on executive pay practices.
- b. Fidelity will generally vote against remuneration proposals when payments made to executives are considered excessive, overly short term in nature, or not reflective of company performance.
- c. Fidelity strongly encourages the long term retention of shares. For shares awarded as part of a remuneration package we will have particular regard for minimum required retention periods. Practice in this regard differs globally but over time we expect all companies to move towards a minimum guaranteed share retention period of at least five years from the date of grant.
- d. Remuneration proposals are evaluated on a case-by-case basis but in addition to the factors described above Fidelity will generally vote against incentive arrangements if:
 - i. the dilutive effect of shares authorised under the plan is excessive; or
 - ii. material changes to arrangements are permissible without shareholder approval; or
 - iii. the potential awards are uncapped; or

- iv. options are offered with an exercise price of less than 100% of fair market value at the date of grant or if re-pricing is subsequently permitted (employee sharesave schemes may be supported provided the offering price of shares is not less than 80% of the fair market value on the date of grant).
- e. In addition, subject to local market standards Fidelity will generally vote against incentive arrangements if:
 - i. there are no performance conditions attached to any of the incentive awards; or
 - ii. there is no disclosure of the performance measures to be used; or
 - iii. the performance targets are insufficiently challenging; or
 - iv. performance retesting is permitted (if performance targets for a given year are not met then awards for that year should be foregone);
 - v. Non-standard incentive arrangements, for example restricted share schemes, will be considered on a case-by-case basis where the company provides a compelling rationale.
- f. Fidelity will consider voting against the re-election of the Chairman of the Remuneration Committee if we vote against the Report of the Remuneration Committee for the second year in a row (assuming no change in personnel in the interim).
- g. Fidelity does not support the presence of executive directors on the Remuneration Committee (or its equivalent) of the companies which employ them and we will vote against the remuneration report in these instances when given an opportunity to do so.

6. Shareholder Proposals

Shareholder proposals are evaluated on a case-by-case basis and our consideration includes: the company's response to the proposal; current market best practices; impact on shareholder value; and the level of difficulty and burden involved in any associated implementation.

Important information

This Material is distributed for information purposes only. This Material does not constitute an agreement between yourself and Fidelity International or any of its respective subsidiaries nor does it create any legally binding or enforceable obligations on Fidelity International or any of its respective subsidiaries.

Fidelity International refers to the group of companies which form the global investment management organisation that provides information on products and services in designated jurisdictions out-side of the United States. Fidelity International only gives information on products and services and does not provide investment advice based on individual circumstances. Fidelity, Fidelity International, the Fidelity International logo and F symbol are registered trademarks of FIL Limited.

The information presented in this document is for information only. It is a general disclosure on the investment approach and should not be considered as (i) investment advice, (ii) an endorsement or recommendation in a financial product or service, (iii) an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to purchase any securities or other financial instruments. All views expressed are those of Fidelity International.

Europe

Issued by FIL Investments International (FCA registered number 122170) a firm authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. FIL Investments International is a member of the Fidelity International group of companies and is registered in England and Wales under the company number 1448245. The registered office of the company is Oakhill House, 130 Tonbridge Road, Hildenborough, Tonbridge, Kent TN11 9DZ, United Kingdom. Fidelity International's VAT identification number is 395 3090 35.

Australia

This material may be relied upon by "retail clients" as defined by the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth) in Australia. For further information on Fidelity's approach to ESG for Fidelity's managed investment schemes issued by FIL Responsible Entity (Australia) Limited, please refer to the relevant Product Disclosure Statements ("PDS") and the accompanying Additional Information ("AI").

As required by the Financial Services Council (FSC) Standard 13 (Voting Policy, Voting Records and Disclosure), Fidelity International will publish a summary of the proxy voting activities of its Australian managed investment schemes in respect to the preceding financial year no later than three months after the close of a financial year (i.e. 1 July – 30 June). Reports will be published on Fidelity's Australia website at <http://www.fidelity.com.au> and generally updated on an annual basis. The disclosure will be in accordance with FSC Standard 13. Fidelity International will generally consider and vote all proxies for every resolution in respect of votable holdings, beneficially owned by Fidelity's Australian managed investment schemes, in companies and other entities publicly listed in Australia. In some instances, after due consideration, Fidelity International may decide that it is more appropriate to abstain from voting and such instances, if any, will be included in the disclosure. Fidelity International operates a very limited stock lending programme through a third party provider however at the time this policy is first published its Australian managed investment schemes are not a participant. Issued in Australia by FIL Responsible Entity (Australia) Limited, ABN 33 148 059 009; AFS Licence number 409340.

China

Fidelity is authorized to manage or distribute private investment fund products on a private placement basis, or to provide investment management service to persons resident in the mainland China solely through its Wholly Foreign Owned Enterprise in China - FIL Investment Management (Shanghai) Company Limited.

Hong Kong

This material is issued by FIL Investment Management (Hong Kong) Limited and it has not been reviewed by the Securities and Futures Commission ("SFC").

Korea

This material is issued by FIL Asset Management (Korea) Limited.

Singapore

This material is issued by FIL Investment Management (Singapore) Limited (Co. Reg. No. 199006300E).

Taiwan

In Taiwan, independently operated by Fidelity Securities Investment Trust Co. (Taiwan) Limited 11F, No.68, Zhongxiao East Road, Section 5, Taipei 110, Taiwan, R.O.C. Customer Service Number: 0800-00-9911.